



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/010,798 | | 11/13/2001 | Stefan Tobolka | SIM0080 | 3063 |
| 832 | 7590 | 01/28/2003 | | | |
| BAKER & | | _ | EXAMINER | | |
| 111 E. WAY SUITE 800 | | | SIPOS, JOHN | | |
| FORT WAYNE, IN 46802 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3721 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| N | K |
|-----|---|
| , . | • |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/010,798 | TOBOLKA, STEFAN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | John Sipos | 3721 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims A) Claim(a) 1.22 in/ore pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Jaction requirement | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | section requirement. | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep | | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | , | · | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/010,798

Art Unit: 3721

19

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-26, drawn to a heat sealing and cutting mechanism, classified in Class 53, subclass 374.8.

Group II. Claims 27-33, drawn to a blade, classified in Class 219.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I and II are related as combination and subcombination. A restriction requirement is based on the presumption that all claims of record define patentable inventions. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for its presumed patentability and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (See MPEP 806.06(c)). In the instant case, a comparison of combination claim 1 and subcombination claim 27 provides evidence that the combination, as claimed, does not require the particulars of the subcombination, as claimed, for its presumed patentability. Subcombination claim 27 sets forth the specifics of the blade including fins extending from the body. Combination claim 1 does not set forth these particulars and, consequently, does not require them for patentability. Even if other combination claims do set forth these particulars, distinction between the inventions is shown if any one combination claim does not include the particulars of any one subcombination claim. The presence of the particulars in other combination claims indicates that they may be included as part of the combination, but the claims selected above provide evidence that the particulars are not required. (See MPEP 806.05(c), Example 3.) The subcombination

Application/Control Number: 10/010,798

Art Unit: 3721

has separate utility because it can be used in packaging operations without the rest of the combination.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and because they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the restriction requirement is traversed.

A telephone call was made to Michael Smith, attorney of record in this case, on 1/24/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but that call did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that, upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h). Applicant should further amend the title, in necessary, to reflect the elected invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Examiner John Sipos** at telephone number (703) 308-1882. The examiner can normally be reached from from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

The FAX number for Group 3700 of the Patent and Trademark Office is (703) 305-3579.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703) 308-2187.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,798

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

John Sipos Primary Examiner Technical Center 3721